

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| | APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|---|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| | 10/615,232 | 07/08/2003 | Yasuhiko Inagaki | U 014709-8 | 8387 |
| | 140 LADAS & PAI | 7590 01/31/2007 | | EXAMINER | |
| | 26 WEST 61ST STREET NEW YORK, NY 10023 | | VU, BAO Q | | |
| | | NY 10023 | [| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | | 2838 | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | | 01/31/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| SY |
|----|
|----|

Advisory Action

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|----------------|--|
| 10/615,232 | INAGAKI ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Bao Q. Vu | 2838 | |

| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | · | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| before the Filling of all Appear biler | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | | |
| | Bao Q. Vu | 2838 | | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | iress | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 22 December 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS | HE REPLY FILED <u>22 December 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | |
| this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods: | The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following | | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin | ng date of the final reject | ion. | | | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | | | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | | | | | | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). | | | | | | | | |
| AMENDMENTS The proposed amendment(s) filed offer a final rejection | but prior to the date of filing a brief | will not be entered b | 00001100 | | | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further contains | | | ecause | | | | | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | | , , , | | | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or | | | the issues for | | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | | jected claims. | | | | | | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | omnliant Amendment | (PTOL -324) | | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s | The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). | | | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). | | timely filed amendme | ent canceling the | | | | | |
| 7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro- | | ill be entered and an e | explanation of | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: None. | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed. <u>None.</u> Claim(s) objected to: <u>None.</u> Claim(s) rejected: <u>9-16.</u> | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <i>None</i> . | | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affida | otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i | <u>ot</u> be entered is necessary and | | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). | | | | | | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | on of the status of the claims after e | entry is below or attac | hed. | | | | | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: | | | | | | | | |
| See Continuation Sheet.12. M Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). | | | | | | | |
| 13. Other: | | Bas V. | 2 | | | | | |
| | | Bao Q. Vu | | | | | | |
| | | Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2838 | | | | | | |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: As previously discussed in the interview the Berringer reference discloses a the claimed invention. It is clear from reading the patent that the output terminal of the circuit is 42 and that the output circuit is 53, since the low voltage input is at terminal 38. High voltage input terminal can only power the load 51 (motor) See column 3, lines 9-56.